



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO:	
10/670,759	09/24/2003	Ralf Kurten	24583N1US	2799	
7:	590 05/11/2005		EXAMINER		
Martin A. Farber, Esq.			BOSWELL, CHRISTOPHER J		
Suite 473 866 United Nat	ions Plaza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10017			3676		
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/670,759	KURTEN ET AL.	•
Examiner		Art Unit	·
	Christopher Boswell	3676	

	Christopher Boswell	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	ż
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which ::: FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two montl	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed			e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	000000
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,	•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for .
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	igtigtigtigtigtigtigtigtarrow igtigtigtigtigtigtigtigtigtigt	Il be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			•
Claim(s) objected to:	·		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-25</u> .			•.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A before as an Abe data of Elice a N	-4:f Aill	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	•
13. Other:	$\left(\triangle a\right)$	niel PS	todola
	(-	DANIEL D STOR	101 A

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly amended claim 13, having a further description of the interaction between the release member and the worm helix, upon engagement of the helix with the release member, raises a new issue that requires further consideration and/ or search.